Parental Controlling Parenting Style and Its Effects on Young Adults' Psychological Autonomy in Co-Residing Households

Liu Zihui[1]*

[1] East China Normal University, Shanghai, China
* Correspondence: liuzihui_1998@sina.com

https://doi.org/10.53104/insights.soc.sci.2025.12002

Abstract: As prolonged co-residence between parents and young adults becomes increasingly common in urban societies, questions arise regarding how this living arrangement influences the psychological development of emerging adults. This study examines the effects of controlling parenting styles—particularly psychological control—on young adults' psychological autonomy within co-residing households. Drawing on developmental theory and cultural perspectives, the paper explores three core components of autonomy: self-directed decision making, emotional separation from parental authority, and the initiation of personal goals. Through a thematic analysis of common tension points, including daily routines, financial planning, and social relationships, the study reveals how young adults experience, negotiate, and adapt to control in intergenerational domestic settings. It further outlines a range of adaptive strategies employed by young adults to assert autonomy, from selective compliance to future-oriented withdrawal. The findings underscore the long-term developmental and relational consequences of sustained parental control during early adulthood and call for a recalibration of familial roles to support autonomy within shared living arrangements.

Keywords: psychological autonomy; controlling parenting; co-residence; emerging adulthood; emotional separation; intergenerational dynamics

Received: 24 June 2025

Revised: 28 July 2025

Accepted: 31 July 2025

Published: 26 December 2025

Citation: Liu, Z. (2025). Parental Controlling Parenting Style and Its Effects on Young Adults' Psychological Autonomy in Co-Residing Households. *Insights in Social Science, 3*(1), 9-20.

1. Co-Residence and the Transition to Adulthood

The move into adulthood has often been seen as a straight and steady process with clear steps. These steps usually include earning one's own income, finding a full-time job, and moving out to live apart from parents. These signs have long been used to show that a person has reached independence and started to take on adult responsibilities. But in the modern world, especially in cities and developed areas, this path has become less clear and often much slower. One main sign of this change is that more young adults now keep living with their parents through their twenties and even into their early thirties.

There are many social and economic reasons for this trend. On the economic side, rising housing prices, difficult job markets, and slow growth in starting

salaries make it harder for young people to move out on their own. In East Asian countries like China and South Korea, the high price of housing is one of the biggest barriers to early independence. For example, in large Chinese cities, the average home costs more than twenty times the yearly income of a typical worker. Because of this, many young adults cannot afford to buy or even rent their own place. Longer schooling also adds to this delay, as more people study for higher degrees to improve their chances in the job market. This extra time in school means that many enter full-time work later, which also pushes back financial independence.

But economic reasons alone do not fully explain why so many young adults still live with their parents. Cultural values and family traditions are also very important. In societies shaped by Confucian beliefs, such as those in East and Southeast Asia, family duty and respect for elders are central values. In these cultures, living with one's parents is not usually seen as a sign of weakness or failure. Instead, it is often considered a positive and responsible choice. For example, adult children—especially unmarried daughters or eldest sons—may be expected to stay at home to take care of parents, support family unity, and help with the household. Staying home is often understood as a moral act that shows care and loyalty.

Even though this way of living can offer safety and emotional closeness, it also brings certain tensions. Living with parents can provide comfort, but it can also make it harder for young adults to build independence, which is a key part of personal growth. Developmental researchers have said for a long time that independence is important for forming identity, setting goals, and learning emotional control. Arnett's idea of "emerging adulthood" describes this stage as a period of exploring, defining oneself, and making independent choices. But when young adults continue to live in homes ruled by long-standing hierarchies and parental authority, it becomes harder for them to fully separate and act on their own.

Long-term co-residence can also bring back hidden power struggles inside the family. When parents still have a strong say in how their children live, spend money, or choose friends, young adults may start to feel uncertain about their roles. They might depend too much on their parents emotionally, or they might feel stuck between being dependent and independent. In such cases, the family home is not only a physical space but also a place where control, freedom, and responsibility are constantly being discussed, tested, and rebalanced.

2. Understanding Controlling Parenting in Young Adulthood

2.1 Psychological vs. Behavioral Control in Parenting

Parental control is a broad and complex idea that shows the different ways parents try to guide or influence their children's growth. In family and developmental psychology, researchers usually divide parental control into two main types: behavioral control and psychological control. These two types have very different effects on how children and young adults develop independence and emotional health.

Behavioral control means that parents guide their children's actions through rules, supervision, and clear expectations. This kind of control helps children learn proper behavior and responsibility. When parents set rules in a warm and supportive way, behavioral control can encourage self-discipline and confidence. It is often linked to consistency, care, and clear communication, which help children behave well and manage themselves in social situations.

Psychological control, on the other hand, works in a very different way. It uses emotional pressure instead of clear structure. Parents who use this kind of control try to influence their children's inner thoughts and feelings rather than their actions. They may make their children feel guilty, withdraw affection, or show love only when the child obeys. They might also use shame or emotional distance to get the child to comply. Over time, this kind of

control weakens a child's ability to think independently and to build a stable sense of self.

This difference becomes very clear in young adulthood, when people begin to explore their identity and make more of their own choices. As children grow older, behavioral control usually decreases because they gain more maturity. But psychological control can continue in softer, more emotional forms. For example, parents may not openly forbid their child from dating someone or choosing a certain career, but they may show disappointment or emotional withdrawal when the child chooses differently. This kind of quiet disapproval is less direct but can still be deeply hurtful because it mixes love with pressure.

Many studies have found that psychological control has negative effects on emotional growth. Soenens and Vansteenkiste (2005) discovered that college students who saw their parents as psychologically controlling reported less independence and more emotional distress, such as anxiety and depression. These effects can be especially strong in families where parents and young adults live together, because daily contact keeps parental authority present. In these homes, control can appear not only through open arguments but also through small emotional signals—constant evaluations, subtle criticism, or silence that carries disapproval. These quiet pressures make young adults feel watched and judged, limiting their ability to act freely.

Psychological control can also affect how young adults relate to others and pursue their goals. People who grow up under this kind of control often seek constant approval from others and find it hard to express their true opinions. They may struggle in friendships or romantic relationships because they fear rejection or conflict. They might also have trouble setting personal goals, since their motivation comes from pleasing others rather than following their own values. Over time, this can lead to emotional dependence and a weak sense of self-worth.

2.2 Persistence of Control Beyond Adolescence

Many older theories of development say that parents slowly give up control as children grow up and become adults. But new research shows that some types of control, especially psychological control, can continue long after the teenage years. This is often true in families where young adults still live with their parents. Instead of ending, control may stay the same or even grow stronger. Living together can keep parents involved in their children's daily lives, which makes it easier for control to continue.

In daily life, sharing a home usually means family members must plan together about things like schedules, chores, and money. But these simple tasks often hide deeper power differences that have existed since childhood. Parents who once set bedtimes or study rules may now influence their adult children's work hours, spending, or dating choices. They may say it is for family peace or because the young adult is using the family's money. Even when meant kindly, these actions can repeat old patterns of approval and control. This makes it harder for young adults to feel separate and independent.

Several studies show that this kind of control often continues. In East Asian families, where family duty and emotional closeness are highly valued, young adults often say that parents still take part in their personal choices even after they become adults. Research comparing families in China and Japan found that many young people living with parents often felt emotional pressure when they disagreed with family expectations. This was common in choices about marriage, jobs, or moving to another city. Parents might not argue openly but instead show disappointment, stay silent, or remind children of their sacrifices. These actions are subtle but powerful forms of emotional control.

Other studies also show that parents who were controlling during their children's teenage years often keep doing so later. Data from long-term research suggest that parents who used emotional control when children were younger are likely to keep doing it in their twenties, especially if the adult

child still depends on them for money or housing. Even when these young adults help around the home, they often still feel like they have less power than their parents. Some researchers call this a "developmental limbo," meaning that young people act like adults but do not fully feel free like adults.

This ongoing control also connects to social and cultural conditions. In big cities where housing is expensive, moving out is often too costly for young adults. In cultures that value close family ties, living together is also seen as normal. Under these conditions, the balance between parents and adult children becomes harder to manage. Parents may think they can keep control because their children still live at home, while adult children may feel it is wrong or disrespectful to push for more independence.

Research shows that staying under such control can have serious effects. Young adults who experience ongoing psychological control often report lower confidence, more stress inside, and higher levels of anxiety or sadness. They may also have trouble setting personal limits in friendships or romantic relationships. These problems show that family control can affect how young people relate to others and manage emotions even outside the home.

2.3 Cultural Dimensions of Parental Control

The way parental control continues into young adulthood cannot be understood without looking at cultural background. In many societies, ideas about family duty, authority, and personal freedom are deeply shaped by shared values and traditions. What may be seen as overcontrol in one culture can be understood as care or moral guidance in another. In East Asian families, for example, the idea of filial piety places strong emphasis on respect and obedience toward parents. Children are often taught that following parental advice is an expression of gratitude and loyalty. Within this belief system, parents see active involvement in their children's lives as a duty rather than interference. A father who monitors his adult child's career choices or a mother who comments on daily routines may genuinely believe that such actions protect family harmony and ensure the child's success. However, for young adults, this same involvement can create inner conflict. They may wish to make independent choices, yet also fear being judged as ungrateful or disrespectful.

In contrast, in many Western societies, independence and self-expression are valued more strongly. Parents are often encouraged to support their children's autonomy, even when their choices differ from family expectations. Open discussion, emotional boundaries, and mutual respect are often seen as signs of maturity on both sides. As a result, the continuation of control beyond adolescence is usually viewed as a problem to be corrected rather than a natural part of family life.

These cultural contrasts do not mean that control exists only in one type of society. Rather, they show how family expectations and emotional bonds are shaped by different moral logics. In collectivist settings, the family is often seen as a single emotional unit, where individual needs are balanced with group stability. In individualist settings, personal growth and choice are treated as important goals in themselves. Both approaches carry benefits and tensions. In the former, emotional security and care are emphasized, but autonomy may be limited. In the latter, freedom is prioritized, but emotional connection can weaken.

Global changes in education, work, and communication are now reshaping these traditional models. Young adults who study abroad or work in urban centers are often exposed to more individual-oriented values. When they return to their families, they may bring new ideas about equality and independence that challenge older expectations. This can lead to silent struggles within the household, where both generations attempt to protect what they value—parents seeking continuity and stability, and young adults seeking growth and self-definition.

Understanding these cultural dimensions helps explain why parental control persists differently across societies. It is not only a matter of personality or family style but also a reflection of shared beliefs about duty, love, and responsibility. In all contexts,

the balance between care and control depends on how families negotiate respect, emotion, and personal space within their own cultural frameworks.

3. Core Components of Psychological Autonomy

Psychological autonomy in young adulthood is a complex part of growing up. It is not only about living on one's own or earning money. It is mainly about having an inner sense of control, knowing how to think and act for oneself, and feeling free to make choices without too much outside pressure. It also means being able to handle one's own emotions apart from parents and to follow goals that truly matter to oneself. These parts of autonomy form the inner foundation of what it means to be an adult who can think and act independently.

When young adults live with their parents, especially in families where parents are controlling, these parts of autonomy often grow in limited or uneven ways. Living in the same space makes it easier for old authority patterns to continue. Parents may still see their children as dependent, and children may find it hard to act like equals. Strong family hierarchies and old habits can make it difficult to build relationships that feel balanced and adult-like. Because of this, autonomy should not be seen only as something that comes from within one person. It also develops through everyday interactions and relationships with parents and other family members.

This section explains three main parts of psychological autonomy that are often affected by ongoing parental control. The first is self-directed decision making, which means being able to make one's own choices and accept the results. The second is emotional separation from parental authority, which means learning to manage one's own emotions and not letting parental opinions fully shape one's feelings or sense of worth. The third is the ability to set and follow personal goals and values, which means finding direction in life that feels true to oneself rather than based on others' expectations.

3.1 Self-Directed Decision Making

Self-directed decision making means a person's ability to make clear and thoughtful choices that match their own beliefs, preferences, and goals. It is an important sign of independence and personal identity during the shift from youth to adulthood. When young people grow up in homes that support autonomy, they get more chances to make choices in both small and big situations. These experiences help them learn confidence, responsibility, and a stable sense of who they are.

But in families where parents use psychological control, this process often becomes limited or distorted. Parents may not directly say "no" to certain choices, but they may use emotions to control behavior. They might show guilt, disappointment, or quiet disapproval to push their children toward certain decisions. When a parent's love or approval depends on obedience, young adults begin to follow others' rules instead of their own values. This makes it harder for them to trust their own judgment and feel in control of their choices.

Research that follows people over time supports this idea. For example, a study by Zimmer-Gembeck and Collins (2003) showed that teenagers who had mothers who often tried to control them were more likely to feel unsure about their future jobs and avoid making career decisions as young adults. These effects became stronger when the young adults still lived with their parents. In such homes, parents remained part of both small daily decisions, like what to eat or how to spend free time, and larger choices, like choosing a major in college or applying for jobs.

Living together also increases the chances for criticism and close observation, even about small things. For instance, if a young woman decides to go out with friends late at night, a controlling parent might make small comments about how that shows poor judgment or lack of values. These words may sound like concern, but they often carry a moral tone that makes the young adult feel judged. Over time, this can create anxiety and lead them to act in ways

that please others rather than express who they really are.

In cultures that value family closeness and respect for elders, these situations can be even more complicated. In many East Asian families, following parents' wishes is seen as a sign of respect, not as a loss of independence. But newer research shows that even in these societies, too much parental control is linked to lower confidence in decision making, mixed emotions, and a strong need for parents' approval among young adults.

When young people rarely have the chance to make their own low-risk decisions, they may later find it hard to set goals or trust their choices. They may depend too much on others for guidance or approval. Over time, their motivation may come more from pressure inside rather than from true personal interest. Deci and Ryan (2000) describe this as "introjected regulation," where people act to avoid guilt or seek approval instead of doing things that truly matter to them. (Deci, E. L. and Ryan, R. M., 2000)

3.2 Emotional Separation from Parental Authority

Emotional separation, also called individuation, is an important part of growing into adulthood. It means that young people learn to create clear emotional boundaries from their parents while still keeping love, care, and respect for them. It is different from emotional detachment, which means coldness or rejection. Emotional separation is about being able to think differently, manage one's own feelings, and follow personal values without feeling guilty, afraid, or dependent.

This kind of independence is especially important for young adults who live with their parents. In shared homes, parents and children spend a lot of time together, which keeps emotional closeness strong. But this closeness can make it harder to separate emotionally. When families already have patterns of control or emotional closeness that are too strong, living together can bring back old habits from childhood. As a result, it becomes difficult for young adults to see their own emotions and opinions as separate from their parents' feelings and expectations.

Bowen's Family Systems Theory helps explain this situation. (Bowen, M., 1978) Bowen believed that when people in a family have low emotional separation, they become emotionally "fused." This means that one person's emotions can easily influence others, making it hard for each family member to think clearly or handle stress independently. In such families, when a young adult tries to express a different opinion or make a personal choice, parents may see it as disrespect or betrayal. Because of this, the child may feel guilty or pressured to agree, even when they do not want to.

These emotional patterns are especially common in cultures where family closeness and shared values are very important. In East Asian societies shaped by Confucian traditions, for example, following family wishes and keeping harmony are seen as signs of respect. Many young adults feel that obeying their parents' expectations is part of being a good person. But this can cause inner conflict when their personal dreams or beliefs differ from what their parents want.

A study by Uji et al. (2006) found that Japanese and Chinese young adults who lived with their parents after their mid-twenties often felt more anxious when making personal decisions, such as choosing a partner, job, or political view. (Uji, M. et al., 2006) Many said they felt afraid to disagree with their parents because they worried about guilt, shame, or losing emotional support. They often stayed silent or gave in to avoid conflict.

These emotional struggles often come not from strict rules but from unspoken thoughts and habits. Many young people grow up believing things like, "If I disagree, I'll hurt my parents," or "If I make my own choice, they'll think I'm ungrateful." These beliefs slowly build a conditional sense of self, where a person's worth depends on how much they please their parents.

Research also shows that this emotional closeness can affect other relationships. Young adults who lack emotional separation may have trouble forming close romantic bonds, speaking up at work, or

making independent choices in friendships. They may avoid disagreement and focus too much on pleasing others because they have learned to hide their true feelings. This pattern starts in the family and spreads to other parts of life.

When parents and adult children live together, this emotional closeness can become even more complicated. The lack of space and privacy makes it harder to set boundaries. Even small talks about clothes, food, or weekend plans can turn into emotional moments if parents show disapproval or make moral comments. Over time, adult children may choose to stay quiet or agree just to keep peace at home. These actions may help avoid arguments but often make them feel less genuine or true to themselves.

3.3 Initiation of Personal Goals and Values

The third part of psychological autonomy is starting personal goals and values. It means not only saying no to outside control but also finding one's own sense of purpose. It is the inner drive to follow goals that match what a person truly believes in, even when these goals are different from what parents or society expect.

This process includes several important steps. A young person must first understand and accept their own beliefs, then choose goals that feel meaningful to them, and learn to face uncertainty and mistakes without giving up. In terms of development, it shows the move from doing what others want to doing what one personally finds valuable.

According to Self-Determination Theory, real autonomy is not just the freedom from pressure. It also means having a feeling of choice and acting in ways that match one's true self. When parents allow choices only under strict limits—such as saying, "You can choose, but only within these rules"—young adults often take in those limits as their own. They start to act out of guilt or fear instead of genuine interest. As a result, they may set goals that please their parents but do not truly satisfy them. Over time, this can lead to stress, anxiety, and exhaustion from trying to meet others' standards.

Research supports this connection between parental psychological control and low motivation. In a study by Van Petegem et al. (2015) that looked at college students living with their parents in different countries, those who felt higher levels of parental control showed less enthusiasm and satisfaction in their studies and career planning. (Van Petegem, S. et al., 2015) Many said they chose "safe" goals that their parents approved of instead of goals that matched their own skills or passions. This kind of compromise often led to a lack of energy and interest in what they were doing.

Starting and following one's own goals also requires the freedom to try, fail, and learn. But in families where strict parents see mistakes as disobedience or irresponsibility, young adults may avoid taking chances altogether. They might stay in their comfort zone to avoid criticism or emotional distance from their parents. Over time, this fear of risk makes it harder for them to find clear goals or develop strong ambitions. Their sense of identity becomes weak and easily shaken, as it depends too much on whether others approve of them.

4. Points of Tension in Co-Residing Family Life

In families where young adults keep living with their parents after adolescence, daily life often turns into a process of quiet negotiation. Sometimes, it also becomes a space of silent conflict about control, privacy, and personal growth. While living together often begins for practical reasons such as saving money or helping with care, it can also bring back old family power patterns. These long-standing roles can make it harder for young adults to gain a sense of independence and adult identity.

When parents hold on to strong authority or emotional influence, tension does not always appear through open arguments. It often builds up through small daily moments—when parents question routines, enter rooms without asking, or make comments about choices. These small actions add up over time and affect how the young adult feels about control and respect in the home. The way family members share time, space, and routines can quietly

shape whether the young adult feels like a real adult or still a child under supervision.

4.1 Control Over Daily Routines and Personal Space

One of the clearest and most emotional areas of conflict in shared households is control over daily routines and personal space. Family rules about cleanliness, punctuality, or shared meals often seem normal and well-intended. But when these rules are applied without open discussion, they can become a form of control. For young adults who are trying to form their own habits and lifestyles, such rules can feel limiting and even invasive.

For example, a 26-year-old graduate student in Shanghai may be told by her parents to eat breakfast with them every morning at 7:30, even though she studies late at night. When she refuses, her parents do not argue directly. Instead, they use quiet disapproval—through sighs, remarks, or silence—to show their dissatisfaction. These small acts suggest that obedience, not mutual understanding, is expected. Over time, these repeated interactions make the young adult feel that her daily schedule is not her own. Her time and choices begin to feel controlled by the expectations of others.

Similar tensions appear when it comes to managing private space. A bedroom, which should belong to the adult child, may still be seen as part of the parents' domain. Parents might walk in without knocking, rearrange items while "cleaning," or comment on the room's condition or decoration. These acts might seem harmless, but they carry quiet messages of power and control. They suggest that parents still have the final say in spaces that are supposed to represent independence.

From a psychological point of view, these intrusions weaken the boundaries that help a person form a clear and separate identity. According to Boundary Regulation Theory, being able to decide who enters one's space and how one uses one's time is key to feeling in control and building a strong sense of self. When young adults are denied this control, even in subtle ways, they may start to feel less capable of managing their own lives. Their room and routines may no longer feel like expressions of who they are, but like temporary privileges allowed by their parents.

In many cultures that value family harmony and respect for elders, these issues are rarely confronted directly. Instead, young adults often deal with them quietly. They may avoid certain topics, spend less time at home, or go along with rules they do not agree with. On the surface, this keeps peace in the home, but underneath it creates frustration and emotional distance. The gap between outward politeness and inner resistance becomes a common feature of life in shared family households.

4.2 Discrepancies in Financial and Life Planning Autonomy

Beyond daily routines, another major source of tension appears when parents continue to influence financial choices and long-term life plans. In many families where young adults still live with their parents, money and emotional ties often become mixed. When parents pay for housing, education, or living costs, their financial help often comes with expectations about obedience, respect, and family duty.

In these homes, young adults may feel trapped between two opposing forces. They receive material support, yet they lose a sense of freedom. Financial help is not always freely given. It often includes conditions, both spoken and unspoken, that expect the young adult to follow the parents' wishes in important life decisions such as what to study, where to work, or how to plan the future.

For example, imagine a young woman who wants to study art history for her master's degree. Her parents, worried about her job prospects and reputation, threaten to stop paying for her tuition unless she switches to accounting or engineering, which they see as safer and more respectable. In this case, her freedom to choose is not real. Her choices are limited by the fact that financial support depends on agreement with her parents' preferences.

This kind of situation is common in societies that value family duty and collective reputation, such as

those influenced by Confucian ideas. In these cultures, children are often expected to choose careers that bring pride, stability, or status to the family. Jobs in business, technology, or government are seen as responsible and honorable, while creative or less traditional paths—like acting, writing, or art—are often viewed as risky or selfish, even when the child has strong talent or motivation. Financial dependence also extends beyond career choices. Parents who pay for living expenses may expect to be consulted about other important decisions. They may want to have a say in whether their children move to another city, study abroad, or buy a house. While parents may describe this involvement as caring or offering wisdom, it often acts as a quiet form of control. Their advice can feel more like authority than support, leaving little space for independent judgment.

These pressures rarely lead to open fights. More often, they are internalized. Many young adults begin to limit themselves before conflict even happens. They avoid discussing certain ideas or dreams, not because they have changed their minds, but because they want to avoid upsetting their parents or losing financial help. This silent self-censorship builds inner tension over time. It may cause them to question their decisions, delay personal goals, or lose confidence in their ability to make independent choices.

Studies also show that conditional support can harm emotional well-being. In a study involving young adults from several countries, researchers found that those who felt their parents had strong control over their life decisions reported lower happiness and higher emotional confusion. The effect was especially strong for those who were still financially dependent on their parents.

4.3 Negotiation of Social Relationships and External Roles

Another main source of control and tension in co-residing families comes from issues of social autonomy. This refers to a young adult's ability to form and manage friendships, romantic relationships, and social roles without parental control. These areas may seem private, but they are often closely linked with family values, traditions, and social image—especially in cultures where the family's reputation is tied to each member's behavior.

In many families where parents and adult children live together, parents often take part in the young adult's social life in different ways. Sometimes they use direct control, and sometimes they use subtle emotional pressure. Direct control includes open disapproval of certain friends or partners because of class, background, gender, or lifestyle. For example, a son's same-sex partner or a daughter's boyfriend from another city may be seen as a problem for the family's image. Parents may say they are only worried about their child's "future" or "happiness," but their actions often serve to reinforce family boundaries and expectations.

Indirect control can be more hidden but just as powerful. It often appears through repeated questions, small comments, or changes in mood. A parent might ask, "Are you still seeing that friend?" not to show care, but to express quiet disapproval. They may use silence, sarcasm, or cold behavior to make their child feel guilty. These emotional signals train young adults to think carefully before doing anything that might upset their parents. As a result, they begin to predict emotional costs for making independent choices.

In cultures that value family unity over individual freedom, such as many East Asian societies, these patterns are even stronger. A child's actions are often seen as representing the entire family. When a young person makes a choice that goes against tradition, it can feel like breaking loyalty, not just rules. The idea of bringing shame or disappointment to one's family can make it very difficult to act freely in relationships or friendships.

Because of these pressures, many young adults choose to show surface obedience while hiding parts of their real lives. A daughter might tell her parents she is not dating, while secretly maintaining a relationship. A son might hide his dream of becoming a musician and instead tell his parents he is preparing for a more traditional career. These

behaviors keep peace in the home, but they also create inner conflict. The young adult learns to live with two versions of themselves—one that pleases the parents, and one that expresses their real identity.

This kind of double life can cause long-term stress and emotional fatigue. Research on young people living with their parents in large East Asian cities shows that many of them feel anxious when switching between their "public self" at home and their "private self" among friends or partners. They often describe feeling divided, as if they have to perform different roles depending on who is watching.

These divided identities slowly weaken both independence and closeness. The constant fear of upsetting parents or being rejected makes honest communication difficult. Over time, emotional distance grows between parent and child, even though they share the same home. The household becomes a space of quiet separation rather than real connection.

5. Adaptive Strategies Among Young Adults

When young adults live with controlling parents, they are not simply passive. They use many different ways, some deliberate and others automatic—to handle the emotional pressure between family expectations and their own need for independence. These strategies reflect how they try to balance family control and personal freedom, while also showing the wider social, cultural, and emotional forces that shape family life.

One common approach is selective compliance. This means that young adults follow certain visible rules, such as eating meals with the family or coming home at a set time, but keep independence in areas that parents cannot easily see. They may express themselves freely online, make private decisions, or keep emotional boundaries while still appearing obedient. This method helps them maintain peace at home, especially in cultures where arguing with parents is considered rude or shameful. However, it can also create inner conflict. They may feel torn between what they believe and what they show. Over time, this tension can slow down identity growth, as they learn to perform obedience instead of living by their own values.

Another common strategy is emotional distancing. In this pattern, young adults avoid deep or emotional conversations with their parents. For example, a son might not talk about his relationship or job struggles—not because he does not trust his parents, but because he wants to avoid judgment, pressure, or emotional guilt. Keeping such distance helps him feel safer and more in control, yet it also reduces intimacy. Over time, family bonds may weaken, and conversations may become formal or limited to practical matters.

Some young adults use a more direct method: setting boundaries through discussion. Those with higher education or more exposure to individualist values may try to define clear limits within the family. They may ask for privacy, personal space, or respect for their own choices. For instance, a daughter might tell her parents that her room should remain private, or that her career and relationships are her own decisions. This kind of negotiation can lead to healthier relationships when handled well. But it also carries risks. In families where hierarchy and emotional closeness are strong, parents may see such requests as disrespect or rejection. This can cause tension, arguments, or emotional withdrawal.

Many young adults also look for external sources of independence to balance family pressure. They often build close friendships, join online communities, or take part in school or volunteer activities that give them emotional space. For students, dorm life or group projects can provide a sense of control they do not have at home. Part-time jobs also offer a kind of freedom. Earning even a small income helps young people feel capable and gives them more say in family matters. Work can become both a symbol and a tool of independence.

Another strategy is future-oriented detachment. In this case, young adults accept that they cannot change their current situation but focus on preparing for future independence. They might save money, apply for jobs in other cities, or plan to move

out once they reach a certain point in their career. This way of thinking allows them to cope with limited control in the present by holding on to future goals. It reflects the reality that moving out is often difficult because of high housing costs or cultural expectations about family duty.

However, not all responses lead to healthy outcomes. Some young adults internalize parental control so deeply that they lose confidence in their ability to make choices. They may develop learned helplessness, showing low motivation, fear of failure, or strong dependence on others. Others go in the opposite direction and show counter-control—resisting through hidden defiance, small acts of rebellion, or sudden emotional withdrawal. These reactions often come from years of unspoken conflict and the lack of safe ways to express disagreement.

The adaptive strategies that young adults choose are shaped by many factors—their cultural background, personality, parental openness, and emotional or financial resources. Each method reflects an effort to protect one's sense of self in an environment that demands obedience and harmony. The forms of resistance or adjustment may differ, but they all show the same struggle to balance respect and independence within complex family systems.

6. Broader Developmental and Relational Consequences

When parents continue to use controlling behaviors while living with their adult children, the results are not just short arguments or brief discomfort. The effects last for a long time and can change the way young adults grow, think, and relate to others. Over time, these patterns influence how they see themselves, how they make decisions, and how they set emotional boundaries both inside and outside the family.

From a developmental point of view, long-term exposure to psychological control can weaken the process of forming a stable and independent sense of self. Erikson's theory explains that building identity is one of the most important tasks during the teenage and early adult years. When parents interrupt this process—by taking control of choices, limiting expression, or giving approval only when expectations are met—young adults may fail to develop a strong identity. They may depend too much on others for direction or approval, and they may feel lost when facing new challenges. Many of them also struggle to choose clear goals and to tolerate uncertainty in adulthood.

Research has shown that when young adults feel controlled by their parents, they often experience higher levels of anxiety, depression, and self-doubt. Emotional independence—the ability to manage feelings and separate them from others' opinions—is especially fragile in families that use guilt or fear to shape behavior. When approval or love depends on obedience, young adults may learn to overplease others and avoid conflict. These habits can continue later in romantic relationships, workplaces, or social interactions, where they may find it hard to assert their needs or express disagreement.

The emotional impact of such control is also clear in family relationships. Some families manage co-residence with mutual understanding and respect, but many struggle with mixed feelings of closeness and frustration. Adult children who depend on parents for housing or money often feel guilty for wanting freedom but ashamed for not achieving it. This creates a kind of emotional split, where outward harmony hides deeper feelings of resentment or fatigue. The family may seem peaceful, but this peace is often maintained by silence rather than genuine connection.

As time goes on, these unresolved struggles between control and autonomy can lead to emotional withdrawal or even distance after separation. When young adults finally gain the chance to move out for work, marriage, or study, they may choose to limit emotional contact. This distance is not always caused by anger. It often comes from the long-term exhaustion of trying to balance care and control. For many, reducing contact becomes a quiet way to regain independence and emotional space.

On a larger level, these patterns show a broader problem in family development: the shift from

dependence to equality. Healthy families learn to change over time, turning parent–child control into mutual adult support. Families that cannot make this change often stay stuck. Parents may feel ignored or powerless, while adult children remain connected but emotionally detached. This pattern creates stagnation for both sides, blocking the growth of true interdependence.

Cultural values also play a strong role in shaping these outcomes. In many modern societies, young adults live between two expectations: one that values personal freedom and another that values loyalty and family duty. When the economy is weak or housing is too expensive, co-residence becomes longer, and these tensions grow. Without open discussion or role adjustment, the home can lose its role as a supportive space and instead become a place that holds back personal growth. The very environment meant to protect young adults can, over time, slow the independence it was supposed to help build.

References

Arnett, J. J. (2000). Emerging adulthood: A theory of development from the late teens through the twenties. *American Psychologist, 55*(5), 469–480.

Bowen, M. (1978). *Family therapy in clinical practice*. Jason Aronson.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry, 11*(4), 227–268.

Soenens, B., & Vansteenkiste, M. (2005). Antecedents and outcomes of self-determination in three life domains: The role of parents' and teachers' autonomy support. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 34*(6), 589–604.

Uji, M., Tanaka, N., Shono, M., & Kitamura, T. (2006). Relations among parental bonding, mental health traits, interpersonal sensitivity, and adult romantic attachment. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice, 79*(4), 451–462.

Van Petegem, S., Soenens, B., Vansteenkiste, M., & Beyers, W. (2015). On the association between adolescent autonomy and psychosocial functioning: Examining decisional autonomy and experienced autonomy support as distinct yet related constructs. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 44*(12), 2047–2061.

Zimmer-Gembeck, M. J., & Collins, W. A. (2003). Autonomy development during adolescence. In G. R. Adams & M. D. Berzonsky (Eds.), *Blackwell handbook of adolescence* (pp. 175–204). Blackwell Publishing.